

August 6, 2005

Dear Sir and/or Madam:

I have followed with outrage the series of events transpiring between Continental Airlines and Massport over the issue before you. Though it is clearly a question of greed on the part of Massport, I realize that it is necessary to follow due process to reach a just end. This being the case I find Massport's late assertion of safety as an issue to be quite unbelievable.

Having worked with a wide variety of radio systems since becoming an amateur radio operator over 30 years ago, and having worked extensively on 2.4 GHz radio systems over the past few years, I must say I find any argument of "safety" given the pervasive deployment of equipment for the same purpose to be questionable at best. It is this type of specious argument that makes me search for some form of punitive measure you might be able to take against Massport for this type of behavior. Surely it must be incumbent upon them to prove not only a potential safety threat but further that their own fee-based system does not offer the same or even greater "risk"?

It is clear that the actions of Continental in this case are taken to benefit the public. It is even clearer that the actions of Massport are contrary to the public good. The actions taken by Massport demonstrate not only a nature contrary to the public good, but an intent to mislead your organization. This must be held against them not only in this case, but in any in others which they have before you. I urge you to please uphold the efforts of Continental to serve its employees and consumers as well as the rights of the tenant to access to the airways unfettered by arbitrary landlord actions.

Sincerely,

Robert P. Scott
POB 277
Hudson, NH 03051
nekoinu@hotmail.com